	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/742,674	FOSTER, MICHAEL RALPH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kishor Mayekar	1753
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kishor Mayekar</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Paul marshall</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
16, 21 and non-elected claims 1-13, 23 and 24		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: to correct the term "whereby" in claim 16 to —wherein— as the action following the term does not necessarily occurs; to replace the trademark "Cogebi 132P mica" to —mica sheet—; and to cancel non-elected claims 1-13, 23 and 24 by an examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/F (ODF 0) (ODF 0)	/Annlinentin Depresentative C	ignatura if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)